**PROMPT ENGINEERING FOR MILITARY DECISION-MAKING**

**PROMPT1: Initial Strategic Assessment**

You are a neutral military analyst tasked with evaluating a potential armed conflict between two unidentified factions. Based on the following structured data, provide a \*\*strategic overview\*\* highlighting strengths, vulnerabilities, and key challenges for each faction. Ensure neutrality and avoid making historical assumptions. Focus strictly on the provided data.

**PROMPT2: War Initiation & Opening Moves**

Considering the strategic overview you provided, both factions must decide on an initial course of action.

Your task:

1. Generate 3 plausible opening strategies for each side based purely on the provided data.
2. Outline expected first-order consequences of each strategy.
3. Assess potential reactions from the opposing side.
4. Identify factors that could trigger unintended escalation or diplomatic resolutions.

Important: Responses should follow a cause-effect format, explicitly linking each action to its expected consequence.

**PROMPT3: Simulation Discussion Between Decision-Makers**

Now simulate a roundtable discussion between key decision-makers:

* Military General
* Economic Advisor
* Intelligence Officer
* Diplomatic Strategist
* Ethical & Legal Consultant

Each expert must:

1. Argue for or against the proposed strategies.
2. Highlight second-order effects (potential unintended consequences).
3. Suggest alternative approaches.
4. Identify critical knowledge gaps that must be addressed before making a final decision.

The discussion should be structured as a formal debate where each participant presents logical reasoning based on the provided data.

**PROMPT4: Tactical Execution & Adaptation**

The chosen strategy is now being executed.

1. Outline step-by-step tactical decisions required for execution.
2. Predict enemy counter-moves.
3. Re-evaluate available resources and limitations.
4. Identify any points where \*\*real-time adaptation\*\* is required.

If unexpected factors arise (e.g., a diplomatic intervention, a logistical failure, an intelligence breakthrough), discuss how these alter the decision-making process.

**PROMPT5: Endgame Analysis & Causal Tracing**

The battle has concluded. Provide a \*\*post-mortem analysis\*\* that answers:

1. What were the decisive factors leading to victory/defeat?
2. Were there \*\*second- and third-order effects\*\* that shaped the final outcome unexpectedly?
3. What \*\*alternative decisions\*\* could have led to a different result?
4. Based on this simulation, what lessons can future decision-makers learn?

**PROMPT6: Outcome Assessment & Meta-Reasoning**

Critically evaluate your own reasoning process:

1. Were there any implicit biases in your decision-making process?
2. Did your assessment correctly anticipate cascading effects?
3. What limitations did you encounter in predicting adversary actions?
4. If given additional intelligence, how might your conclusions change?